## APPEAL NO. 022065 FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on July 17, 2002. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issue by determining that the appellant (claimant) is not entitled to supplemental income benefits (SIBs) corresponding to the fifth compensable quarter. The claimant appeals this determination on sufficiency grounds. The respondent (carrier) urges affirmance of the hearing officer's decision.

## **DECISION**

We affirm the hearing officer's decision.

Sections 408.142(a) and 408.143 provide that an employee is entitled to SIBs if upon the expiration of the impairment income benefits (IIBs) period the employee has: (1) an impairment rating of at least 15%; (2) not returned to work or has earned less than 80% of the employee's average weekly wage as a direct result of the impairment; (3) not elected to commute a portion of the IIBs; and (4) attempted in good faith to obtain employment commensurate with the employee's ability to work. Whether the claimant satisfied the good faith requirement for SIBs eligibility through any of the methods provided for in Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §130.102(d) (Rule 130.102(d)) was a factual question for the hearing officer to resolve. Section 410.165(a) provides that the hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Aetna Insurance Co. v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). An appeals level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied). In view of the evidence presented, we cannot conclude that the hearing officer's determination is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986).

The decision and order of the hearing officer are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **AIU INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

	Philip F. O'Neill Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	